From:	Paul Mitchell
To:	Kate Aufhauser
Cc:	Joyce; Clarke Miller; Kern, Chris (CPC); Reilly, Catherine (ADM); Van de Water, Adam (ECN); Bollinger, Brett (CPC); lubaw@lcwconsulting.com
Subject:	RE: CEQA Info Request - Sponsor Replies, Part 1
Date:	Friday, March 27, 2015 5:23:08 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Kate:

Ideally, the site plan (you provided yesterday) and the building elevation you provided today for this project variant would be in the same format to what you we are presenting for the proposed project design in the SEIR Project Description, since they are being considered on an equal basis, and thus, so an apples-to-apples visual comparison can be made by the reader. However, if you are using a different architect and/or software program for this variant (can you confirm), we understand that may not be possible to present them in the same format.

I'll talk to Chris Kern on Monday (he's out today) to get his insight, and we can follow up with you early next week.

FYI, the EIR Alternatives plans you provided are fine since they are expected to be somewhat more generalized and not on a project-level.

Thanks.

-Paul

From: Kate Aufhauser [mailto:KAufhauser@warriors.com]
Sent: Friday, March 27, 2015 4:45 PM
To: Paul Mitchell
Cc: Mary Murphy (mgmurphy@gibsondunn.com); Clarke Miller; Reilly, Catherine (OCII); Van de Water, Adam (MYR); Chris Kern (chris.kern@sfgov.org); Bollinger, Brett (CPC); wyckowilliam@comcast.net; lubaw@lcwconsulting.com; Joyce
Subject: RE: CEQA Info Request - Sponsor Replies, Part 1

See attached per second request. Is this sufficient?

Thanks,
Kate

Kate Aufhauser
Project Analyst
510.986.5419 (office) | 202.230.2642 (cell)
kaufhauser@warriors.com
WARRIORS
website | tickets | app | social | find us
SBJ's 2014 Sports Team of the Year

From: Paul Mitchell [mailto:PMitchell@esassoc.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2015 2:23 PM
To: Kate Aufhauser
Cc: Mary Murphy (mgmurphy@gibsondunn.com); Clarke Miller; Reilly, Catherine (OCII); Van de Water, Adam (MYR); Chris Kern (chris.kern@sfgov.org); Bollinger, Brett (CPC); wyckowilliam@comcast.net; lubaw@lcwconsulting.com; Joyce
Subject: RE: CEQA Info Request - Sponsor Replies, Part 1

Thanks, Kate.

-Paul

From: Kate Aufhauser [mailto:KAufhauser@warriors.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2015 2:08 PM
To: Paul Mitchell
Cc: Mary Murphy (mgmurphy@gibsondunn.com); Clarke Miller; Reilly, Catherine (OCII); Van de Water, Adam (MYR); Chris Kern (chris.kern@sfgov.org); Bollinger, Brett (CPC); wyckowilliam@comcast.net; lubaw@lcwconsulting.com; Joyce
Subject: RE: CEQA Info Request - Sponsor Replies, Part 1

Paul,

Here's the presentation. You're correct we have no written description.

We do plan to complete elevations for this option but have not yet done so. I'll send along when able.

Kate

Kate Aufhauser
Project Analyst
510.986.5419 (office) | 202.230.2642 (cell)
kaufhauser@warriors.com
WARRIORS
website | tickets | app | social | find us
SBJ's 2014 Sports Team of the Year

From: Paul Mitchell [mailto:PMitchell@esassoc.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2015 1:06 PM
To: Kate Aufhauser
Cc: Mary Murphy (mgmurphy@gibsondunn.com); Clarke Miller; Reilly, Catherine (OCII); Van de Water, Adam (MYR); Chris Kern (chris.kern@sfgov.org); Bollinger, Brett (CPC); wyckowilliam@comcast.net; lubaw@lcwconsulting.com; Joyce
Subject: RE: CEQA Info Request - Sponsor Replies, Part 1

Kate:

Thanks for this.

- If possible, can you please provide the other accompanying figures you presented yesterday

for this variant? We won't plan on presenting them in the SEIR, however, it was helpful to get more context from the other pespectives for when we write the description for it in the SEIR (we assume you have no written description for this variant?)

- This variant is being analyzed at an equal level of detail as the project in the SEIR (albeit largely only affecting wind effects). As such, do you plan on also providing an building elevation drawing for this variant (e.g., at a minimum, looking east from Third Street towards the project), similar to how we plan to present building elevation drawings in the Project Description for the proposed project? Certainly, UCSF would be interested in seeing that.

Thanks.

-Paul

From: Kate Aufhauser [mailto:KAufhauser@warriors.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2015 12:03 PM
To: Paul Mitchell; Joyce
Cc: Mary Murphy (mgmurphy@gibsondunn.com); Clarke Miller; Reilly, Catherine (OCII); Van de Water, Adam (MYR); Chris Kern (chris.kern@sfgov.org); Bollinger, Brett (CPC); wyckowilliam@comcast.net; lubaw@lcwconsulting.com
Subject: RE: CEQA Info Request - Sponsor Replies, Part 1

Paul –

As follow-up to the first item (project variant), please see the attached conceptual site plan. Let me know if you need anything else.

Kate

Kate Aufhauser
Project Analyst
510.986.5419 (office) | 202.230.2642 (cell)
kaufhauser@warriors.com
WARRIORS
website | tickets | app | social | find us
SBJ's 2014 Sports Team of the Year

From: Kate Aufhauser
Sent: Sunday, March 22, 2015 6:18 PM
To: Paul Mitchell; Joyce
Cc: Mary Murphy (mgmurphy@gibsondunn.com); Clarke Miller; Reilly, Catherine (OCII); 'Van de Water, Adam (MYR)'; Chris Kern (chris.kern@sfgov.org); Bollinger, Brett (CPC); 'wyckowilliam@comcast.net'; lubaw@lcwconsulting.com
Subject: CEQA Info Request - Sponsor Replies, Part 1

Paul, Joyce, and others -

Answers to ESA's recent info request are below. More answers to come when available.

Thanks,
Kate

- *<u>Project Variant Conceptual Site Plan/Description:</u> Please provide conceptual site plan for project variant that does not include the gatehouse building (and any other potential design changes within or outside the UCSF visual easement on the project site, such as the podium structure, plaza and approaches to the plaza). Please describe if the limited retail included in the gatehouse would be relocated on site. Please confirm any changes in total development square footage.*
    - Site plan production is underway, with wind testing planned directly afterwards. Both SWA (L.arch) and RWDI (wind) are working or ready to do so.
    - Please presume there will be no change in project program under this variant, including no change in total development square footage, proposed retail square footage, or proposed vehicle parking spaces.
- *<u>Construction Tower Crane / UCSF Compatibility.</u> The sponsor indicated it had conducted a preliminary review of applicable regs (e.g., FAA) when considering compatibility of the proposed use of tower cranes at the project site with the UCSF helipad. Please provide that preliminary review*
    - Clarke has provided MCJV's diagrams, which ESA and/or Luba may use to draft a discussion in the EIR. Generally, the graphics demonstrate that neither the building (penthouse mechanical areas) nor cranes will interfere with the approved helicopter flight path.
    - The document should also state that GSW is prepared to comply with FAA law.
- *<u>Sponsor-Proposed Good Neighbor Policies/Plan</u>. As discussed as the 3/12/15 meeting, please provide a copy of the sponsor's proposed good neighbor policies/plan to include in the SEIR Project Description that will address proposed crowd control, directing people to the proposed transit connections (as opposed to up Bridgeview Way), outdoor noise management and other practices to minimize effects on surrounding land uses.*
    - Per recent emails, ESA will include a placeholder in the SEIR until early May (following CAC discussions on these strategies,, scheduled for 4/30). Then, we will reconvene to discuss preliminary proposed practices to include in the SEIR Project Description.
    - Note: At this time, the text should also state that GSW has committed to compliance with Mission Bay's Good Neighbor Policy during construction, and that GSW will comply with the Entertainment Commission's standard "good neighbor" practices under the conditions of a Place of Entertainment permit.
- *<u>On-street Loading.</u> Project sponsor to determine if SEIR Transportation Figure 5.2-13 will be revised to have taxi versus general loading, and no black car loading, on Terry A. Francois Boulevard? Project sponsor to investigate use of Port lots for staging of black cars prior to the end of an event, and provide details*
    - Terry Francois Boulevard's curb management plan will be revised to replace any "black car loading" labels with "general loading" (passenger pick-up/drop-off). There are no changes anticipated to the planned taxi zone or paratransit zone on TFB. This

update will be reflected in our revised TMP (forthcoming by 4/18).
    - Note: Adam is reaching out to the Port about the lot closest to our site. No updates are available at this time.
- *On-street Loading. Project sponsor to confirm if black car loading on 16th Street on Figure 5.2-13 to remain?*
    - Yes, that area will remain on our plans and will still be called "black car loading." We will remove conflicting footnotes in the TMP that may reference TNC loading/unloading in that zone.
- *On-street Loading. Project sponsor to rearrange of on-street commercial loading spaces for SEIR Transportation Figure 5.2-9. Add a couple of spaces to South and 16th Streets closer to Third Street.*
    - This change will be reflected in the text, charts, and graphics of our revised TMP (forthcoming by 4/18). Note we are planning to move 2 commercial loading spaces in total (one to South Street, one to 16th Street).
- *16th Street Sidewalk. Project sponsor and OCII to finalize 16th Street sidewalk adjacent to project site. [We assumed the minimum width would be 10 feet, but discussed the additional queuing areas. It would be better to have at least 12.5 feet of sidewalk area (i.e., the 10 foot dedicated plus 2.5 feet of sidewalk width within the 20 foot setback), similar to South Street. Plus the additional queuing areas.]*
    - OCII/MTA direction, based on a 3/20 email, is for 20 feet of free clearance from the tree wells along the 16th St. sidewalk. Our designers are studying approaches to accomplish this goal now (hoping to review resulting design development with OCII and Planning on 3/31). We will share design details when available.
- *TMP Performance Standards. Project sponsor to review performance standards included in the TMP to see if need to be revised*
    - Please assume any performance standards related to auto mode share currently contained in the project TMP will be re-written to match those deemed appropriate for the SEIR transportation section. The admin draft provided mode split targets for weekday events and weekend events, while GSW's TMP previously provided them for weekday event attendees and weekday non-event office workers. We will modify the TMP to match the SEIR's focus on auto mode split events, since those are the scenarios generating the greatest community concern.
    - No other TMP performance standards (clear signage for bike parking, safe pedestrian flows, etc.) will be modified.
- *Final TMP.*
    - Forthcoming (submission no later than 4/18, as requested). Work with F&P is in progress. As requested, we plan to call Luba to confirm all changes (per her notes and edits), and to keep a record of changes for ESA to expedite review of the revised document.

Kate Aufhauser
Project Analyst
510.986.5419 (office) | 202.230.2642 (cell)
kaufhauser@warriors.com
WARRIORS

SBJ's 2014 Sports Team of the Year